



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,322	03/02/2004	Dmitry Andreev	END920030143	1826

7590 04/17/2008  
Andrew M. Calderon  
Greenblum and Bernstein P.L.C.  
1950 Roland Clarke Place  
Reston, VA 20191

EXAMINER
----------

TABOR, AMARE F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2139

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/17/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/791,322	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ANDREEV ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Amare Tabor	<b>Art Unit</b> 2139	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Amare Tabor.

(3) Andrew M. Calderon.

(2) Kristine Kincaid (SPE).

(4) Sara Small.

Date of Interview: 10 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: N/A.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Azuma/Gregg.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant explained the invention and argued that the prior arts used for rejection fail to disclose some features [for example, claim 2] of the invention. Examiner explains that rejection of Claim 2 is maintained and Applicant's future amendment will be considered after conducting further reading and search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kristine Kincaid/, SPE AU 2139

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required